

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/502,834	02/11/2000	Tetsuo Kodama	203924	5735	
75	590 04/01/2003				
John Kilyk, Jr. Leydig, Voit & Mayer, Ltd. Two Prudential Plaza 180 North Stetson, Suite 4900			EXAMINER		
			TRUONG, DUC		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Chicago, IL 60601-6780			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3 /			1711	16	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		AS-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/502,834	KODAMA ET AL.
, and y	Examiner	Art Unit
	Duc Truong	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover shet v	vith th correspondenc address
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of the r: (1) a timely filed amendr opeal (with appeal fee); or (nis application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing da	te of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the ma /AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTI	iling date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the short of the	xtension and the corresponding arr ened statutory period for reply origi	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	
(a) 🗌 they raise new issues that would require fu	ırther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appea	l by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a ☐ affidavit, b ☐ exhibit, or c ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed: none.		
Claim(s) objected to: none.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 6.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State □ Other:	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s).
		DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

. ↓ Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 09/502,834

•

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Declaration has been fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the claims: there is no comparative Examples between the claimed light resisting agents and Naphthol, as disclosed in the reference. The reasons is Applicant does not want to have the conflict between the Declaration and the specification as disclosed on page 6, lines 12-21, teaches "the light resisting agent is ----aromatic amine and phenol include aniline, phenylenediamine---, p-aminophenol, naphthol- - -. Further, the Declaration has not been signed.